



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/021,425 | 10/30/2001 | Suzanne L. Bolten | 3196/00 US | 2066 |
| 26648 | 7590 11/26/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| PHARMACIA CORPORATION | | | PATTERSON, CHARLES L JR | |
| GLOBAL PA | TENT DEPARTMENT E BOX 1027 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1652 | |
| ST. LOUIS, | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ~ | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| A STATE OF THE STA | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 10/021,425 | SUZANNE ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Charles L. Patterson, Jr. | 1652 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | rvoid abandonment of this applion 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim | cation. A proper re ich places the appli | ply to a cation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Ission and the corresponding amount of the | if the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriat e fee. The appropriate ex | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under | | | |
| 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | onths after the mailing date of the final rej | ection, even if timely filed | (2) as set forth in may reduce any | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or | simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S | | sidered but does N | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which w | ere newly | | | |
| 7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | l and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>2,27,58,78,79,84-89,103,104 and 106</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 102. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>90-101,105 and 107-110</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-9,30-34,36-45,50-56 and 59-77</u> . | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 10 ☐ Other | | 1 | M_2 . | | | |

Charles L. Patterson, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is noted that the amendment is not compliant with 35 CFR 1.121(c) because it does not list the withdrawn claims as required by this section. Claim 105 is rejected only because "The" at the start of line 2 is capitalized. The examiner has indicated that claims drawn to the reaction of androstendione or canrenone with the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2 or encoded by SEQ ID NO:1 have been indicated as allowable. Claim 90 and 99 are not limited to SEQ ID NO or even to source. Claims limited to 50% - 75% identity with SEQ ID NO:2 are not enabled by the specification as one of ordinary skill in the art is not taught how to prepare polypeptides of this scope that will perform the indicated enzymatic activity. Claim 102 is objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.